

**COCONINO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
(COCONINO COMMUNITY COLLEGE)  
REPORT ON AUDIT OF ANNUAL BUDGETED  
EXPENDITURE LIMITATION REPORT  
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001**

**COCONINO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
(COCONINO COMMUNITY COLLEGE)  
REPORT ON AUDIT OF ANNUAL BUDGETED EXPENDITURE LIMITATION REPORT  
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001**

<b><u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u></b>	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report - Part I	2
Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report - Part II	3
Notes to Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report	4
Supplementary Information	
Annual Expenditure Comparison Report	5



HEINFELD & MEECH, P.C.  
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Gary Heinfeld, CPA, CGFM  
Nancy A. Meech, CPA, CGFM  
Jennifer L. Shields, CPA

6740 North Oracle Road, Suite 100  
Tucson, Arizona 85704  
(520) 742-2611 • Fax (520) 742-2718

5333 N. 7th Street, Suite B-110  
Phoenix, Arizona 85014  
(602) 277-9449 • Fax: (602) 277-9297

---

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Auditor General of the State of Arizona

The Governing Board of  
Coconino County Community College District

We have audited the accompanying Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report of Coconino County Community College District for the year ended June 30, 2001. This report is the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this report based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the report is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the report. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall report presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report was prepared for the purpose of complying with the uniform expenditure reporting system as discussed in Note 1, and is not intended to be a presentation in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report of Coconino County Community College District for the year ended June 30, 2001, referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the information required by the uniform expenditure reporting system on the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report taken as a whole. The accompanying Annual Expenditure Comparison Report is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the members of the Arizona State Legislature and the Governing Board, and for the filing with the Auditor General of the State of Arizona and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

HEINFELD & MEECH, P.C.  
Certified Public Accountants

September 28, 2001

**COCONINO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
(COCONINO COMMUNITY COLLEGE)  
ANNUAL BUDGETED EXPENDITURE LIMITATION REPORT - PART 1  
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001**

1. Economic Estimates Commission expenditure limitation	\$8,294,190
2. Budgeted expenditures subject to the expenditure limitation (from Part II, Line C)	<u>8,294,189</u>
3. Amount under the expenditure limitation	<u>\$ 1</u>

I hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the information contained in this report is accurate and in accordance with the requirements of the uniform expenditure reporting system.

Signature of Chief Fiscal Officer:

Name and Title: Jami V. Bradley, Vice President for Administrative Support

Telephone Number: (520) 527-4441 Date: September 28, 2001

See accompanying notes to report.

**COCONINO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
(COCONINO COMMUNITY COLLEGE)  
ANNUAL BUDGETED EXPENDITURE LIMITATION REPORT - PART II  
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001**

<u>Description</u>	Current Funds			Plant Funds		
	Unrestricted			<u>Unexpended</u>	Retirement of	
	<u>General</u>	<u>Auxiliary Enterprises</u>	<u>Restricted</u>		<u>Indebtedness</u>	<u>Total</u>
A. Total budgeted expenditures	\$ 9,395,703	\$ 199,838	\$ 1,887,671	\$ 21,059,152	1,938,856	\$ 34,481,220
B. Less exclusions claimed:						
Debt service requirements on bonded indebtedness					1,759,607	1,759,607
Debt service on other long-term obligations					177,231	177,231
Grants and aid from the federal government	21,209		1,887,671			1,908,880
Grants, aid, contributions, or gifts from a private agency, organization, or individual except amounts received in lieu of taxes		7,487				7,487
Amounts accumulated for the purchase of land and the purchase or construction of buildings or improvements				17,140,033		17,140,033
Tuition and fees	2,354,189	12,181				2,366,370
Prior years carryforward	83,762			2,743,661		2,827,423
Total exclusions claimed	<u>2,459,160</u>	<u>19,668</u>	<u>1,887,671</u>	<u>19,883,694</u>	<u>1,936,838</u>	<u>26,187,031</u>
C. Amounts subject to the expenditure limitation	<u>\$ 6,936,543</u>	<u>\$ 180,170</u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>\$ 1,175,458</u>	<u>\$ 2,018</u>	<u>\$ 8,294,189</u>

See accompanying notes to report.

**COCONINO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
(COCONINO COMMUNITY COLLEGE)  
NOTES TO ANNUAL BUDGETED EXPENDITURE LIMITATION REPORT  
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001**

**NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report (ABELR) is presented on the basis of accounting prescribed by the uniform expenditure reporting system (UERS), as required by Arizona Revised Statutes §41-1279.07, which excludes expenditures of certain revenues specified in the Arizona Constitution, Article IX, §21 from the total budgeted expenditures.

In accordance with the UERS requirements, a note to the ABELR is presented below for any exclusion claimed on Part II that cannot be traced directly to an amount reported in the annual financial statements.

**NOTE 2 -** Of the \$17,267,814 reported as expended for plant facilities in the Unexpended Plant Fund on the Statement of Changes in Fund Balances, only \$17,140,033 was claimed as an exclusion. The remaining \$127,781 was not eligible for exclusion.

**NOTE 3 -** Of the \$2,017,605 reported as governmental grants and contracts in the Restricted Fund on the Statement of Current Funds Revenues, Expenditures, and Other Changes only \$1,887,671 was claimed as an exclusion. The remaining \$129,934 has been carried forward to future years.

**NOTE 4 -** Prior years carryforward consists of constitutionally excludable revenues unexpended in the year of receipt that have been accumulated and were expended in the current year as follows.

Description	Current General Fund	Unexpended Plant Fund
Tuition and fees	\$ 83,762	\$
Bond proceeds		2,743,661
Total prior years carry forward expended	\$ 83,762	\$ 2,743,661

**COCONINO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
(COCONINO COMMUNITY COLLEGE)  
ANNUAL EXPENDITURE COMPARISON REPORT  
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001**

	Current Funds			Plant Funds		Total
	Unrestricted			Unexpended	Retirement of Indebtedness	
	General	Auxiliary Enterprises	Restricted			
A. Total budgeted expenditures reported in the Annual Budgeted Expenditure Limitation Report, Part II, Line A	\$ 9,395,703	\$ 199,838	\$ 1,887,671	\$ 21,059,152	\$ 1,938,856	\$ 34,481,220
B. Total expenditures and other deductions reported in the annual financial statements	<u>8,851,703</u>	<u>36,261</u>	<u>2,235,336</u>	<u>17,267,814</u>	<u>1,954,151</u>	<u>30,345,265</u>
C. Variance - favorable (unfavorable)	<u>\$ 544,000</u>	<u>\$ 163,577</u>	<u>\$ (347,665)</u> (1)	<u>\$ 3,791,338</u>	<u>\$ (15,295)</u> (2)	<u>\$ 4,135,955</u>

(1) This unfavorable variance resulted because the District received and spent more federal grant revenue than was anticipated.

(2) This unfavorable variance resulted because the District did not budget for the Provision for Bad Debt of \$17,313.